



Impact of elevated CO₂ on grain nutrient concentration varies with crops and soils – A long-term FACE study

Jian Jin^a, Roger Armstrong^b, Caixian Tang^{a,*}


^a Department of Animal, Plant and Soil Sciences, AgriBio, La Trobe University, Melbourne Campus, Bundoora, Vic 3086, Australia

^b Agriculture Victoria Research, Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport & Resources, Horsham, Vic 3401, Australia

HIGHLIGHTS

- The effect of 7-year CO₂ treatment on grain nutrients was investigated.
- Elevated CO₂ decreased the concentrations of N, P and Zn in grain.
- The decrease in grain N was greater in canola and wheat than field pea.
- Elevated CO₂ lowered grain nutritional value more in fertile than infertile soils.

GRAPHICAL ABSTRACT



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 18 July 2018

Received in revised form 11 October 2018

Accepted 11 October 2018

Available online 12 October 2018

Editor: Jay Gan

Keywords:

Climate change

Crop rotation

Free air CO₂ enrichment

Grain quality

High atmospheric CO₂

Soil types

ABSTRACT

The impact of elevated CO₂ (eCO₂) on grain nutrient concentration is becoming a global concern in terms of future human nutrition. Previous research has shown that eCO₂ can alter the availability and uptake of nutrients in crops. However, the interactive effects of long-term eCO₂ and soil types on the concentrations of nutrients in grain are poorly understood. By understanding such effects, we are able to develop management practices that maintain grain nutritional quality while improving crop yield in response to future climatic conditions. We conducted a seven-year experiment of free air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) with a rotation of wheat, field pea and canola grown in a Chromosol (Luvisol), Vertosol (Vertisol) and Calcarosol (Calcic Xerosol) under ambient CO₂ (aCO₂) (390 ± 10 μmol mol⁻¹) or eCO₂ (550 ± 30 μmol mol⁻¹). The concentration and amount of five macro- and four micro-nutrients in grain over the seven years were determined. Compared to aCO₂, the concentrations of N, P and Zn decreased by 6%, 5% and 10% under eCO₂, respectively, irrespective of soil, crop and year. A greater decrease in N concentration was found in canola and wheat compared to field pea. The reduction in P and Mg concentration of canola was significant in Chromosol, but not in the Vertosol nor Calcarosol soils. The concentrations of K, Fe, Mn and Cu were not affected by eCO₂ in any crop grown in the soils tested. Furthermore, eCO₂ significantly decreased soil labile N and P and exchangeable Mg and Cu due to greater nutrient uptake, which was in part ascribed to the decreased nutrient accumulation in crop grains. It appears that eCO₂ lowers the nutritional quality (nutrient concentration) in grains of non-legume crops, and that the extent of this decrease was greater in relatively fertile than infertile soils.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Animal, Plant and Soil Sciences, La Trobe University, Bundoora, Vic 3086, Australia.

E-mail address: C.Tang@latrobe.edu.au (C. Tang).

1. Introduction

Atmospheric CO₂ concentration has passed 400 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ (www.co2.earth) in 2017, and continues to increase (Eggleton, 2013). Under the scenario of climate change, the atmospheric CO₂ is predicted to reach approximately 550 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ by 2050 and 700 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ by 2100 (IPCC, 2013). Elevated atmospheric CO₂ (eCO₂) can affect both grain yields and quality (Dietterich et al., 2015). Although crop growth and yields under eCO₂ have been well investigated, relatively less attention has been paid to the nutritional quality of grains (Ainsworth and Long, 2005; Thomas et al., 2009; Saha et al., 2015). In the grain, nutrients such as nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P), potassium (K), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), zinc (Zn), iron (Fe), copper (Cu), manganese (Mn) and selenium (Se) are essential mineral elements for human and animal nutrition (Smith et al., 2018). Previous short-term controlled environment studies reported that eCO₂ led to a decrease in the concentrations of Zn and Fe in the grains of wheat (La Puente et al., 2000), barley (Manderscheid et al., 1995), and rice plants (Seneweera and Conroy, 1997). More recently, the decrease in concentrations of grain nutrients such as N, Ca, Zn and Fe were observed in soybean, sorghum, potatoes, wheat and barley grown in the free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) facilities with 546–586 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$ of CO₂ concentration (Myers et al., 2014; Dietterich et al., 2015). However, the effect of eCO₂ on the concentrations of nutrients in grain is still unclear especially over longer time frames and in dryland cropping regions. The adverse effect of eCO₂ on grain quality reduces both nutritional (Wang et al., 2011) and economic benefits (Lyman et al., 2013). As some nutrients such as Fe and Zn are already deficient in the diets of major developing countries (Brown et al., 2001; Nielsen, 2015), the continuous increase of atmospheric CO₂ concentration is a potential challenge for global human nutrition (Ingram et al., 2008; Erbs et al., 2010).

The mechanisms for the eCO₂-induced decrease in grain nutrient concentrations remain unclear. The 'carbohydrate dilution effect' is one possible mechanism whereby a stimulation of plant carbohydrate production by eCO₂ dilutes the rest of the grain components (Gifford et al., 2000; Myers et al., 2014). However, the CO₂ effect differed among N, P, K, Zn, Ca, Mn and Sulphur (S) in wheat (Fangmeier et al., 1999), suggesting that the dilution effect alone cannot produce these various responses. Effects on the nutrient concentrations varied among crop species, and even among cultivars of the same species, suggesting that the mechanisms associated with these changes may be species specific (Dietterich et al., 2015). Varying responses of grain nutrient concentration to eCO₂ among species reflect both differing physiological responses including transpirational efficiency and rates of photosynthesis, resulting in yield differences as well as inherent differences between species in their ability to access soil nutrients. This is because eCO₂ may stimulate root exudation that likely facilitates plants to access soil nutrients in many species (Haase et al., 2008). Moreover, the nutritional quality of grains under eCO₂ is likely to vary between soils as well, because soil properties influence nutrient availability to plant growth (Jin et al., 2017). Although the application of fertilizers can assist plants to meet their demands for nutrients, plants still rely on the nutrient reserves in the soil for nutrient uptake to some extent (White and Brown, 2010). When crops are grown under eCO₂, the availability of soil nutrients may decrease over longer time periods (years) due to greater yields which result in a greater quantity of nutrients being exported from the system. Decreases in soil nutrient supply may lower the concentration of nutrients in grain (Tausz et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the impacts of eCO₂ on grain nutritional quality may differ markedly between soils contrasting in chemical and physical properties. When plants are grown in different soils, plant response to eCO₂ may be reflected on changes of root morphology and root exudation depending on soil type (Jin et al., 2015), leading to possible changes in nutrient uptake and translocation to grains. Furthermore, under field conditions, environmental factors such as rainfall and temperature are also likely to influence interactions between atmospheric CO₂, soil and the crop, and

therefore the pattern and amount of nutrients absorbed and ultimately grain nutritional quality. Under eCO₂ environments, longer-term field trials where crops are grown in different soils are needed to clarify the eCO₂ effect on grain nutritional quality and to explore relevant mechanisms.

The aim of this study was to investigate the interactive effect of eCO₂ and soil type on the concentration of key nutrients in grains of crops grown in a wheat-field pea-canola-rotation system. We hypothesized that the eCO₂-induced changes in grain nutrient concentrations depended on soils and crop species because the investigated crops differ in nutrient acquisition capability under eCO₂ and nutrient availability differs between soils. The grains were collected from a seven-year field trial under the FACE so that accurate and reliable information regarding the long-term impact of climate change on grain quality would be provided.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Experimental design

We conducted an FACE experiment from 2009 to 2016 in a dryland cropping region (36°44'57"S, 142°06'50"E). Eight FACE bunkers were established; four were treated for eCO₂ ($550 \pm 30 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) and the other four for aCO₂ ($390 \pm 30 \mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$), representing four replicates for each treatment. The design and management of the FACE system were specified in Mollah et al. (2009).

Three soils, i.e. Chromosol, Vertosol and Calcarosol (Isbell, 1996) or Luvisol, Vertisol and Calcisol (WRB, 2014) were involved in this FACE study (SoilFACE). These soils represented three major soil types in dryland cropping systems of south-eastern Australia. Intact soil cores were collected from paddocks, and placed in large PVC cylinders or mesocosms (0.3 m in diameter; 1.0 m in depth), weighing between 135 and 150 kg each depending on the soil type. The soil profile of 1 m is considered to be sufficient to allow normal root development as root depths of wheat were generally in the range of 50–110 cm in some soils (Dracup et al., 1992; Kirkegaard and Lilley, 2007). The soil properties in the top 10-cm soil were as followed. The Chromosol had total C, 48.9 g kg⁻¹; total N, 4.0 g kg⁻¹; pH, 4.5; clay, 18.3% and sand, 15.9%. The Vertosol had total C, 9.4 g kg⁻¹; total N, 0.8 g kg⁻¹; pH, 7.3; clay, 51.1% and sand, 12%. The Calcarosol had total C 4.4 g kg⁻¹; total N, 0.4 g kg⁻¹; pH, 5.7; clay, 5.5% and sand, 84.5% (Jin et al., 2017). The intact soil cores were put into the bunkers levelled with the ground (Butterly et al., 2016). The physicochemical properties of soil in the mesocosms were maintained the same as the soil profile in paddocks. Twelve mesocosms in each bunker were used in this study (four for each soil), and these mesocosms were next to each other to allow plants to establish canopy in a similar environmental conditions to surrounding crops in the field. The plants were rain-fed without any extra irrigation. The climate at the experiment location belongs to a Mediterranean type of which winter is cool and wet, while summer is dry and hot. The details of rainfall and temperature information during the experimental period were provided in Jin et al. (2017).

This study had a wheat-field pea-canola rotation: field pea (*Pisum sativum* L. cv. PBA Twilight) in 2009, wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. Yitpi) in 2010, field pea in 2011, wheat in 2012, canola (*Brassica napus* L. cv. Hyola 50) in 2013, wheat in 2014, and canola in 2015. Phosphorus was annually applied as triple superphosphate at 15 kg P ha⁻¹. Nitrogen was applied in urea at 75 kg N ha⁻¹ for wheat and canola, while no N fertilizer was applied to field pea. The same types and amounts of fertilizers were applied to all three soils.

2.2. Grain harvest, soil sampling and measurements

At crop maturity, grains were harvested each year and grain weight measured after manually threshing the grain from the straw. In each bunker, grains collected from four mesocosms of individual soil type

were bulked to form one replicate, dried, weighed and kept in air-tight plastic containers at room temperature. Subsamples of grains were further dried at 70 °C for 72 h, and ground into fine powder using a ball mill (Retsch MM400, Germany). The ground samples were digested in a nitric and perchloric acid mixture (4:1) (Yuen and Pollard, 1954), and the concentrations of P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu in digests were analysed using ICP-OES (Perkin Elmer Optima 8000, MA, USA). Nutrient concentration was presented on a dry-weight basis. The concentration of N in grains was determined by dry combustion using a CHNS/O analyser (Perkin Elmer 2400 Series II, USA).

Soil samples were collected from surface soils (0–10 cm) in December 2015 following crop harvest. Soil cores from 4 mesocosms of each soil type within a bunker were bulked and treated as one replicate. Fifteen grams of fresh soil samples were extracted with 15 ml of 2 M KCl and the concentrations of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- in the extracts were then determined using a flow injection analyser (Lachat QuickChem 8500 Series II, USA). The remaining soil samples was air-dried and passed through a 0.5-mm sieve for other chemical analyses. Forty million litre of Mehlich 3 extractant that contained ammonium fluoride, ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid (EDTA) and ammonium nitrate was added to 4 g of soil to extract exchangeable nutrients including K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu (Rayment and Lyons, 2011). The concentrations of these nutrients in extracts were then determined by ICP-OES.

2.3. Statistical analyses

A split-plot design was deployed in this experiment with CO_2 as the main plots, and soil type and species nested within the CO_2 (Uddin et al., 2018). Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was processed with a linear-mixed model (Steel and Torrie, 1980) fit by residual maximum likelihood (REML) using Genstat 13 (VSN International, Hemel Hempstead, UK). In this model, the effects of CO_2 , soil type, and plant species were considered as fixed effects. As the grain nutrient concentrations in each crop species were repeatedly measured across years, year was used as a random effect (Parvin et al., 2018). As the FACE rings were randomly allocated in the field, the ring numbers were treated as a random effect in this model as well. The data set of each variable was normally distributed. The statistical analyses were performed using 4 replicates for each treatment. The least significant difference (LSD) at $p \leq 0.05$ was applied to compare the difference between treatment means. The comparative response to eCO_2 (as % aCO_2) of grain N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu concentrations was presented with a box plot (Fig. 1), displaying the distribution of data in minimum, first quartile, median, third quartile, and maximum across crops, soils and years.




Fig. 1. Comparative response to eCO_2 (as % aCO_2) of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn concentrations in grains. Crops were grown in the Chromosol, Vertisol and Calcarosol soils and exposed to either aCO_2 (390 ppm) or eCO_2 (550 ppm) from 2009 to 2015. Bars show the maximum (top edge) and minimum (lower edge) percentiles, and boxes the 25% and 75% percentiles across crops, soils and years. The median (50%) percentile is represented by the horizontal line within the box.

3. Results

Elevated CO_2 decreased the concentrations of N, P and Zn in grains by 6%, 5% and 10% ($p < 0.05$), respectively, when averaged across the soils, crops and years (Fig. 1, Table 1). In particular, under eCO_2 , a relative greater decrease in N concentration was found in canola and wheat, compared to field pea (Table 2), contributing to a $\text{CO}_2 \times$ crop interaction ($p < 0.05$) (Table 1). The effect of eCO_2 on grain P concentration depended on soil and crop with a decrease of 23% in canola grown in the Chromosol in 2015, while no CO_2 effect was detected in wheat and field pea in the two other soils (Tables 1, 2). The Mg concentration decreased only in the Chromosol ($p < 0.05$) but not in the Vertisol or Calcarosol (Table 2), resulting in a $\text{CO}_2 \times$ soil interaction ($p < 0.01$) (Table 1). The negative effect of eCO_2 on grain Zn concentration was consistent across both crop and soil type (Table 3).

Interestingly, the CO_2 effect on grain Ca concentration varied with soil type, resulting in a 18% increase in canola in Vertisol in 2015, but 12% decrease in Calcarosol (Tables 1, 2). There was no CO_2 effect on Ca concentration observed in other crops. The remaining nutrients tested including K, Fe, Mn and Cu did not differ in response to eCO_2 , irrespective of crop and soil types (Tables 2, 3). In addition, eCO_2 greatly increased the total contents of investigated nutrients in grain (mg per mesocosm) compared to aCO_2 , which was attributed to the increase in grain yield in response to eCO_2 (Tables S1, S2).

After 7 years of cropping rotation under eCO_2 , the availability of several nutrients in the topsoil changed relative to aCO_2 . The available N decreased by 22%, 9% and 34% in Chromosol, Vertisol and Calcarosol, respectively (Fig. 2), contributing to the significant CO_2 effect ($p < 0.05$). Similar trends were found in Colwell P and exchangeable Mg, resulting in eCO_2 -induced decrease ($p < 0.05$) of, on average, 20% and 12%, respectively. However, there was no CO_2 effect on exchangeable K and Ca. Among the micro-nutrients measured, eCO_2 decreased extractable Cu in soil ($p < 0.05$) but not other micro-nutrients (Fig. 2).

4. Discussion

This is the first study to the best of our knowledge to report the long-term effect of eCO_2 on the concentrations of nutrients in grains in a dry-land wheat-pulse-canola rotation in different soils. Elevated CO_2 decreased grain N in canola and wheat but not in field pea. Similarly, protein concentration decreased from 15.3% to 13.4% when wheat plants were grown under eCO_2 with sufficient N supply (Fernando et al., 2012). It is expected that the soil N supply might not satisfy the increasing N demand by eCO_2 -stimulated biomass growth in the two crops (Table 2). This view was further supported by the eCO_2 -induced decrease in the concentration of available N in soils in this study (Fig. 2). Thus, the lower soil N availability might contribute to the lower concentrations of N in tissue and likely decreased protein concentrations in grain of non-legume crops, lowering potential food and feed quality (Wroblewitz et al., 2013).

In field pea, a pulse crop, the lack of any significant difference in N concentration between aCO_2 and eCO_2 might be attributed to the eCO_2 -induced stimulation on N_2 fixation. Li et al. (2017) reported that the grain N was dominantly derived from the symbiotically-fixed N in N_2 -fixing soybean under eCO_2 . Moreover, our previous study found that the number of nodules and shoot biomass of field pea significantly increased in response to eCO_2 , which might contribute to the increase of N uptake (Jin et al., 2012). Thus, the impact of eCO_2 on grain N is likely to be greater in the non-legume crops with high N demands. Nevertheless, 2% of decrease in grain N concentration in lentils was observed under eCO_2 , indicating that eCO_2 -induced stimulation on N_2 fixation might not meet the photosynthetic efficiency to avoid the dilution effect (Moore et al., 1999; Bourgault et al., 2017).

The decrease in grain P concentration under eCO_2 was greater in canola than in field pea and wheat, especially when the crops were grown in the Chromosol. The greater decrease in P concentration in canola was

Table 1Significant levels of main effects and interactions of CO₂, crop and soil type on concentrations of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn in grains.

	N	P	K	Ca	Mg	Fe	Mn	Cu	Zn
CO ₂	0.005	0.035	0.558	0.019	0.068	0.689	0.174	0.999	<0.001
Crop	0.010	0.003	0.827	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.004	0.061	0.299
Soil	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	0.011	<0.001	0.093	<0.001
CO ₂ × crop	0.043	0.951	0.538	0.377	0.906	0.656	0.114	0.838	0.723
CO ₂ × soil	0.123	0.149	0.985	0.095	0.006	0.711	0.820	0.545	0.492
Crop × soil	<0.001	<0.001	0.153	<0.001	<0.001	0.244	0.297	<0.001	<0.001
CO ₂ × crop × soil	<0.889	0.014	0.063	0.030	0.174	0.994	0.925	0.707	0.113

likely to contribute to the greater demand for P in canola than the other crops (Table 2), and higher grain yield in the Chromosol might lead to a stronger dilution effect on the P concentration compared to other soils (data not shown). Several studies showed that the greater accumulation of carbohydrates than nutrients might cause the reduction of nutrient concentration in plants, i.e. a 'dilution effect' (Taub and Wang, 2008; Taub et al., 2008; Fernando et al., 2014). Apparently, whether this dilution effect occurs or not depends on the inherent capability of nutrient acquisition of crop species and their interaction with soil. Under eCO₂, plant P acquisition is associated with the C status of plants, i.e. photosynthetic C allocation to roots and subsequent effects on root length and morphology and the composition of root exudates (Pandey et al., 2015; Jakobsen et al., 2016). The increase in C efflux from roots under eCO₂ may facilitate the mobilization of insoluble P fractions over time (Jin et al., 2017), but this mobilized P may not satisfy the increased P demand by plants under eCO₂. Consequently, eCO₂-induced decrease in labile P may still limit the P uptake and utilization. Therefore, eCO₂-induced changes in plant C metabolisms play a key role in P uptake and translocation to grain. Moreover, since P is predominantly stored as phytate in grain and phytate is hydrolyzed to supply P for seed germination (Bewley and Black, 1978; Yang et al., 2017), eCO₂-induced decrease in phytate concentration may limit seedling growth due to insufficient supply of nutrients including P. In addition, as the Mg is also combined with phytate (Yang et al., 2017) and a similar decreasing trend was found in this study, Mg may also become a liming nutrient for germination.

Grain Zn concentration decreased in response to eCO₂ irrespective of soil type. The reduction of Zn concentration was consistent with the

study by Fernando et al. (2014) who found that the Zn concentration in wheat grain decreased by 6% under eCO₂ when the plants were grown in a Vertisol. However, the exchangeable Zn in top-10 cm of soil was not affected by eCO₂ (Fig. 2), indicating that eCO₂ did not cause depletion of Zn in soil profile where major roots distributed. The likely reasons for the decrease in grain Zn concentration would be related to Zn distribution in grains and the carbohydrate accumulation in grains. First, eCO₂ may lead to greater proportion of endosperm to grain weight in wheat as grain Zn was mainly distributed in the outer layers of the kernels (Petersen et al., 1983; Pleijel and Danielsson, 2009). Second, the Zn concentration was mainly lower in the distal grains from rachis on spike where a larger number of grains might develop under eCO₂ (Calderini and Ortiz-Monasterio, 2003). However, mechanisms for the grain Zn decrease in field pea and canola need further investigation.

Unlike the above nutrients, the concentrations of Fe, Mn and Cu in grains did not significantly respond to eCO₂ in any crop grown in the three soils studied nor was any increase in Ca concentration observed in different soils in response to eCO₂ (Tables 2, 3). This indicates that these nutrients did not experience a dilution effect, and the accumulation of these nutrients in grain could keep pace with increased C assimilation. Although eCO₂ decreased photorespiration and transpiration-driven mass flow of nutrients (Gifford et al., 2000; Leakey et al., 2009; Bloom et al., 2012), these nutrients are considered phloem immobile and can only be transported in the chelate form in the phloem (Wang et al., 2017). Thus, the pattern of their mobility in plants would much differ from other ions. Moreover, the maintenance of cation-anion balance in plants may contribute to the differences in nutrient

Table 2The effect of eCO₂ on concentrations of macronutrients of N, P, K, Ca and Mg in grains of field pea, wheat and canola grown in Chromosol, Vertisol and Calcarosol. Crops were exposed to either aCO₂ (390 ppm) or eCO₂ (550 ppm) from 2009 to 2015.

Soil	Year	Crop	N (mg g ⁻¹)		P (mg g ⁻¹)		K (mg g ⁻¹)		Ca (mg g ⁻¹)		Mg (mg g ⁻¹)	
			aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂
Chromosol	2009	Field pea	32.3	31.3	2.47	2.42	6.12	6.02	0.67	0.65	1.14	1.04
	2010	Wheat	24.1	21.1*	2.77	3.13	4.84	5.04	0.35	0.37	1.36	1.36
	2011	Field pea	32.7	33.2	2.28	2.30	3.68	3.69	0.75	0.71	1.22	1.16
	2012	Wheat	22.0	20.5	2.31	2.04	3.72	3.87	0.29	0.31	1.21	1.17
	2013	Canola	28.5	28.5	6.60	6.91	5.70	6.48	3.22	3.48	3.29	3.34
	2014	Wheat	23.3	18.5*	2.73	2.11	4.21	3.35	0.33	0.33	1.37	1.12
Vertisol	2015	Canola	45.8	40.4*	6.53	4.51	3.54	3.74	3.67	3.83	3.09	2.65*
	2009	Field pea	38.3	36.2	3.58	3.13	6.81	6.71	0.62	0.72	1.12	1.13
	2010	Wheat	15.7	15.6	3.91	4.05	4.97	4.81	0.37	0.39	1.36	1.39
	2011	Field pea	35.5	37.1	2.52	2.13	3.66	3.94	0.72	0.74	1.19	1.18
	2012	Wheat	15.4	14.5	2.70	2.70	3.68	3.69	0.30	0.31	1.23	1.19
	2013	Canola	28.6	28.5	8.44	8.83	5.26	5.59	4.58	4.76	3.35	3.41
Calcarosol	2014	Wheat	13.9	11.0	3.55	3.18	4.68	4.23	0.49	0.38	1.25	1.23
	2015	Canola	39.1	34.9*	6.59	7.62*	4.17	4.61	3.78	4.45*	2.92	3.21
	2009	Field pea	35.7	36.8	2.65	2.63	6.43	7.00	0.80	0.63	1.21	1.13
	2010	Wheat	14.2	13.0	4.70	3.87	6.90	6.66	0.42	0.43	1.61	1.40
	2011	Field pea	35.8	35.2	2.29	2.04	3.70	4.04	0.63	0.71	1.24	1.19
	2012	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
LSD (p = 0.05)	2013	Canola	27.4	27.9	9.71	9.15	5.89	6.73	4.16	4.13	3.74	3.57
	2014	Wheat	14.8	13.5	2.77	2.80	4.09	4.42	0.35	0.40	1.12	1.21
	2015	Canola	37.4	34.3*	8.77	8.40	3.99	3.63	4.17	3.68*	3.71	3.71
			5.13		1.04		1.28		0.44		0.30	

Values were means of four replicates. LSD values correspond to the CO₂ × soil × species interaction (three-way ANOVA). –, data not available.* Significant difference (t-test) between aCO₂ and eCO₂ at p ≤ 0.05, for individual years.

Table 3

The effect of eCO₂ on concentrations of micronutrients of Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn in grains of field pea, wheat and canola grown in Chromosol, Vertisol and Calcarosol. Crops were exposed to either aCO₂ (390 ppm) or eCO₂ (550 ppm) from 2009 to 2015.

Soil	Year	Crop	Fe ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)		Mn ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)		Cu ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)		Zn ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$)	
			aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂	aCO ₂	eCO ₂
Chromosol	2009	Field pea	40.3	39.2	7.64	6.9	7.1	6.6	43.2	38.3*
	2010	Wheat	77.6	86.9	40.1	41.8	5.1	5.4	37.6	31.6*
	2011	Field pea	55.7	51.8	9.64	9.3	7.7	7.2	47.7	46.7
	2012	Wheat	47.7	58.5	44.3	41.7	3.2	3.4	41.8	34.0*
	2013	Canola	34.9	32.5	29.8	31.2	2.6	2.7	32.1	33.4
	2014	Wheat	31.5	28.6	50.2	40.7	5.4	4.5	39.8	30.6*
Vertisol	2015	Canola	36.1	37.6	35.2	37.4	2.6	2.5	45.2	39.4*
	2009	Field pea	26.2	27.2	6.5	6.1	5.7	5.8	21.0	19.6
	2010	Wheat	66.4	71.0	48.0	43.7	5.6	5.3	17.4	17.4
	2011	Field pea	38.1	34.3	7.55	7.5	7.1	6.9	29.7	27.4
	2012	Wheat	37.6	43.5	35.6	33.6	4.7	4.6	18.3	18.8
	2013	Canola	36.8	32.3	27.0	27.3	2.5	2.6	24.9	24.5
Calcarosol	2014	Wheat	22.6	19.4	32.0	28.7	6.0	4.5	22.0	16.2*
	2015	Canola	36.8	35.7	27.5	28.3	2.4	2.4	37.6	29.1*
	2009	Field pea	33.7	33.3	7.82	6.8	4.7	3.8	25.9	19.5
	2010	Wheat	85.0	74.7	56.6	53.0	8.8	7.8	32.8	32.3
	2011	Field pea	43.2	38.2	9.09	9.1	4.8	5.4	25.8	28.9
	2012	Wheat	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
LSD ($p = 0.05$)										

Values were means of four replicates. LSD values correspond to the CO₂ × soil × species interaction (three-way ANOVA).

* Significant difference (t -test) between aCO₂ and eCO₂ at $p \leq 0.05$, for individual years




Fig. 2. Effect of eCO₂ on labile N (NH₄⁺ and NO₃⁻), Colwell P, exchangeable K, Ca, Mg, Fe, Mn, Cu and Zn concentrations in the Chromosol, Vertisol and Calcarosol soils (0–10 cm). Crops were exposed to either aCO₂ (390 ppm) or eCO₂ (550 ppm) from 2009 to 2015. Values were means ± SE ($n = 4$). Values with a common letter are not significantly different between treatments ($p = 0.05$). The Colwell P data were obtained from Jin et al. (2017). The CO₂ effect was significant ($p < 0.05$) for labile N, Colwell P, exchangeable Mg and Cu concentrations and the soil effect was significant ($p < 0.01$) for all of nutrient concentration in soil.

concentrations. A large amount of nitrate might be primarily taken up by plants under eCO₂, which required the equivalent cation uptake to maintain the charge balance (Marschner et al., 1996). These three nutrients may be associated with the cation-anion balance. Additionally, the lack of change in concentrations of these nutrients in grains may be associated with rhizosphere processes. Significant changes in biochemical properties in the rhizosphere under eCO₂ have been shown to occur in crops grown in soils (Jin et al., 2012, 2013; Yu et al., 2016). The changes in enzymes activities, rhizosphere pH, and ion transporters in roots may stimulate the mobilization of these ions in the rhizosphere and subsequent uptake by plants. However, except for Fe and Mn, the exchangeable Cu in soils decreased under eCO₂ (Fig. 2), indicating that the depletion of Cu may reach a point limiting grain nutrition if crops keep growing under eCO₂. Thus, the eCO₂-induced increase in grain nutrient contents (Tables S1, S2) may lead to the depletion of some nutrients in soil solutions, but the potential decrease in soil nutrient availability depends on the rhizosphere effect on the nutrient mobilization under eCO₂.

In summary, although eCO₂ can often increase grain yield of crops, it had the negative effect on nutrient concentration in the grain but this effect varies with nutrient, crop and soil type. The eCO₂-induced decrease in N concentration mainly occurred in non-legume crops such as wheat and canola rather than the pulse field pea. The reduction of P and Mg under eCO₂ was likely to occur in the acid Chromosol that may have a higher productivity compared to the neutral/alkaline Vertisol and Calcarosol. Elevated CO₂ resulted in the decrease in grain Zn concentration rather than other micronutrients such as Fe, Mn and Cu. The potential benefits of increasing grain yields that may occur under eCO₂ (Thomas et al., 2009; Saha et al., 2015), other factors such as rainfall and temperature effects aside, may be offset by reduced nutritional value of grains of non-legume crops. The decrease in grain quality in term of concentrations of essential nutrients under eCO₂ is likely to be greater in relatively fertile than infertile soils although absolute concentrations may be higher in fertile soils. In addition, low nutrient concentrations in grains also constrain seed germination and seedling establishment, leading to low yields at harvest (Muhammad et al., 2015). A number of studies have demonstrated that seed nutrient concentrations can strongly affect seedling vigour and development (Singh and Bharti, 1985; Ros et al., 1997; Genc et al., 2000). Comprehensive management choices such as cultivar selection for greater nutrient-use-efficiency and increasing fertilization or developing new more efficient fertilization methods are recommended to mitigate the negative impacts of eCO₂ on nutrient concentrations in grains.

Acknowledgements

The Australian Grains Free Air CO₂ Enrichment program including SoilFACE is jointly run by Agriculture Victoria Research (Victorian State Department of Economic Development, Jobs, Transport and Resources) with the University of Melbourne and funding from the Grains Research and Development Corporation (GRDC) and the Department of Agriculture and Water Resources, Australian Government. We gratefully acknowledge Mel Munn, Roger Perris, Liana Warren and Russel Argall and team (Agriculture Victoria Research) for management the SoilFACE experiment and Mahabubur Mollah (Research Engineer, Agriculture Victoria) for running SoilFACE. This study was also supported by a fellowship of Research Officer in the School of Life Sciences at La Trobe University.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.10.170>.

References

- Ainsworth, E.A., Long, S.P., 2005. What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy properties and plant production to rising CO₂. *New Phytol.* 165, 351–372.
- Bewley, J.D., Black, M., 1978. *Physiology and biochemistry of seeds in relation to germination. Development, Germination and Growth.* Vol. 1. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Bloom, A.J., Asensio, J.S.R., Randall, L., Rachmilevitch, S., Cousins, A.B., Carlisle, E.A., 2012. CO₂ enrichment inhibits shoot nitrate assimilation in C3 but not C4 plants and slows growth under nitrate in C3 plants. *Ecology* 93, 355–367.
- Bourgault, M., Brand, J., Tausz-Posch, S., Armstrong, R.D., O'Leary, G.L., Fitzgerald, G.J., Tausz, M., 2017. Yield, growth and grain nitrogen response to elevated CO₂ in six lentil (*Lens culinaris*) cultivars grown under Free Air CO₂ Enrichment (FACE) in a semi-arid environment. *Eur. J. Agron.* 87, 50–58.
- Brown, K.H., Wuehler, S.E., Peerson, J.M., 2001. The importance of zinc in human nutrition and estimation of the global prevalence of zinc deficiency. *Food Nutr. Bull.* 22, 113–125.
- Butterly, C.R., Phillips, L.A., Wiltshire, J.L., Franks, A.E., Armstrong, R.D., Chen, D., Mele, P.M., Tang, C., 2016. Long-term effects of elevated CO₂ on carbon and nitrogen functional capacity of microbial communities in three contrasting soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 97, 157–167.
- Calderini, D.F., Ortiz-Monasterio, I., 2003. Grain position affects grain macronutrient and micronutrient concentrations in wheat. *Crop Sci.* 43, 141–151.
- Dietterich, L.H., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Hybers, P., Leakey, A.D.B., Bloom, A.J., Carlisle, E., Fernando, N., Fitzgerald, G., Hasegawa, T., Holbrook, N.M., Nelson, R.L., Norton, R., Ottman, M.J., Raboy, V., Sakai, H., Sartor, K.A., Schwartz, J., Seneweera, S., Usui, Y., Yoshinaga, S., Myers, S.S., 2015. Impacts of elevated atmospheric CO₂ on nutrient content of important food crops. *Sci. Data* 2, 150036.
- Dracup, M., Belford, R.K., Gregory, P.J., 1992. Constraints to root growth of wheat and lupin crops in duplex soils. *Aust. J. Exp. Agr.* 32, 947–961.
- Eggleston, T., 2013. *A Short Introduction to Climate Change.* Cambridge University Press.
- Erbs, M., Manderscheid, R., Jansen, G., Seddig, S., Pacholski, A., Weigel, H.J., 2010. Effects of free-air CO₂ enrichment and nitrogen supply on grain quality parameters and elemental composition of wheat and barley grown in a crop rotation. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 136, 59–68.
- Fangmeier, A., De Temmerman, L., Mortensen, L., Kemp, K., Burke, J., Mitchell, R., van Oijen, M., Weigel, H.J., 1999. Effects on nutrients and on grain quality in spring wheat crops grown under elevated CO₂ concentrations and stress conditions in the European, multiple-site experiment 'ESPACE-wheat'. *Eur. J. Agron.* 10, 215–229.
- Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Fitzgerald, G.J., Seneweera, S., 2012. Rising atmospheric CO₂ concentration affects mineral nutrient and protein concentration of wheat grain. *Food Chem.* 133, 1307–1311.
- Fernando, N., Panozzo, J., Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Neumann, N., Fitzgerald, G.J., Seneweera, S., 2014. Elevated CO₂ alters grain quality of two bread wheat cultivars grown under different environmental conditions. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 185, 24–33.
- Genc, Y., McDonald, G.K., Graham, R.D., 2000. Effect of seed zinc content on early growth of barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) under low and adequate soil zinc supply. *Aust. J. Agric. Res.* 51, 37–46.
- Gifford, R.M., Barrett, D.J., Lutze, J.L., 2000. The effects of elevated [CO₂] on the C:N and C:P mass ratios of plant tissues. *Plant Soil* 224, 1–14.
- Haase, S., Rothe, A., Kania, A., Wasaki, J., Röhmheld, V., Engels, C., Kandeler, E., Neumann, G., 2008. Responses to iron limitation in *Hordeum vulgare* L. as affected by the atmospheric CO₂ concentration. *J. Environ. Qual.* 37, 154–162.
- Ingram, J.S.I., Gregory, P.J., Izac, A.M., 2008. The role of agronomic research in climate change and food security policy. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 126, 4–12.
- IPCC, 2013. Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Summary for Policymakers (www.climatechange2013.org/images/uploads/WGIAR5-SPM-Approved27Sep2013.pdf).
- Isbell, R.F., 1996. *The Australian Soil Classification.* CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.
- Jakobsen, I., Smith, S.E., Smith, F.A., Watts-Williams, S.J., Clausen, S.S., Grønlund, M., 2016. Plant growth responses to elevated atmospheric CO₂ are increased by phosphorus sufficiency but not by arbuscular mycorrhizas. *J. Exp. Bot.* (21), 6173–6186.
- Jin, J., Tang, C., Armstrong, R., Sale, P., 2012. Phosphorus supply enhances the response of legumes to elevated CO₂ (FACE) in a phosphorus-deficient Vertisol. *Plant Soil* 358, 91–104.
- Jin, J., Tang, C., Armstrong, R., Butterly, C., Sale, P., 2013. Elevated CO₂ temporally enhances phosphorus immobilization in the rhizosphere of wheat and chickpea. *Plant Soil* 368, 315–328.
- Jin, J., Tang, C., Sale, P., 2015. The impact of elevated carbon dioxide on the phosphorus nutrition of plants: a review. *Ann. Bot.* 116, 987–999.
- Jin, J., Tang, C., Armstrong, R., 2017. Long-term impact of elevated CO₂ (FACE) on phosphorus fractions varies in three contrasting soils. *Plant Soil* 419, 257–267.
- Kirkegaard, J.A., Lilley, J.M., 2007. Root penetration rate—a benchmark to identify soil and plant limitations to rooting depth in wheat. *Aust. J. Exp. Agr.* 47, 590–602.
- Leakey, A.D.B., Ainsworth, E.A., Bernacchi, C.J., Roger, A., Long, S.P., Ort, D.R., 2009. Elevated CO₂ effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *J. Exp. Bot.* 60, 2859–2876.
- Li, Y., Yu, Z., Liu, X., Mathesius, U., Wang, G., Tang, C., Wu, J., Liu, J., Zhang, S., Jin, J., 2017. Elevated CO₂ increases nitrogen fixation at the reproductive phase contributing to various yield responses of soybean cultivars. *Front. Plant Sci.* 8, 1546.
- Lyman, N.B., Jagadish, K.S.V., Nalley, L.L., Dixon, B.L., Siebenmorgen, T., 2013. Neglecting rice milling yield and quality underestimates economic losses from high-temperature stress. *PLoS One* 8, e72157.
- Manderscheid, R., Bender, J., Jäger, H.J., Weigel, H.J., 1995. Effects of season long CO₂ enrichment on cereals. II. Nutrient concentrations and grain quality. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 54, 175–185.

- Marschner, H., Kirkby, E., Cakmak, I., 1996. Effect of mineral nutritional status on shoot-root partitioning of photoassimilates and cycling of mineral nutrients. *J. Exp. Bot.* 47, 1255–1263.
- Mollah, M., Norton, R., Huzzey, J., 2009. Australian grains free-air carbon dioxide enrichment (AGFACE) facility: design and performance. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 60, 697–707.
- Moore, B.D., Cheng, S.H., Sims, D., Seemann, J.R., 1999. The biochemical and molecular basis for photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO₂. *Plant Cell Environ.* 22, 567–582.
- Muhammad, I., Kolla, M., Volker, R., Günter, N., 2015. Impact of nutrient seed priming on germination, seedling development, nutritional status and grain yield of maize. *J. Plant Nutr.* 38, 1803–1821.
- Myers, S.S., Zanobetti, A., Kloog, I., Huybers, P., Leakey, A.D.B., Bloom, A., Carlisle, E., Dietterich, L.H., Fitzgerald, G., Hasegawa, T., Holbrook, N.M., Nelson, R.L., Ottman, M.J., Raboy, V., Sakai, H., Sartor, K.A., Schwartz, J., Seneweera, S., Tausz, M., Usui, Y., 2014. Rising concentration of atmospheric CO₂ threatens human nutrition. *Nature Letters*.
- Nielsen, F.H., 2015. Importance of plant sources of magnesium for human health. *Crop Pasture Sci.* 66, 1259–1264.
- Pandey, R., Zinta, G., AbdElgawad, H., Ahmad, A., Jain, V., Janssens, I.A., 2015. Physiological and molecular alterations in plants exposed to high [CO₂] under phosphorus stress. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 33, 303–316.
- Parvin, S., Uddin, S., Bourgault, M., Roessner, U., Tausz-Posch, S., Armstrong, R., O'Leary, G., Fitzgerald, G., Tausz, M., 2018. Water availability moderates N₂ fixation benefit from elevated [CO₂]: a 2-year free-air CO₂ enrichment study on lentil (*Lens culinaris* MEDIK.) in a water limited agroecosystem. *Plant Cell Environ.* 41, 2418–2434.
- Petersen, C.J., Johnson, V.A., Mattern, P.J., 1983. Evaluation of variation in mineral element concentration in wheat flour and bran of different cultivars. *Cereal Chem.* 60, 450–455.
- Pleijel, H., Danielsson, H., 2009. Yield dilution of grain Zn in wheat grown in open-top chamber experiments with elevated CO₂ and O₃ exposure. *J. Cereal Sci.* 50, 278–282.
- Puente, I., de, L.S., Pérez, P.P., Martínez-Carrasco, R., Morcuende, R.M., del Molino, I.M.M., 2000. Action of elevated CO₂ and high temperatures on the mineral chemical composition of two varieties of wheat. *Agrochimica* 44, 221–230.
- Rayment, G.E., Lyons, D.J., 2011. *Soil Chemical Methods-Australia*. CSIRO Publishing, Australia, pp. 389–402.
- Ros, C., Bell, R.W., White, P.F., 1997. Effect of seed phosphorus and soil phosphorus applications on early growth of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cv. IR66. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 43, 499–509.
- Saha, S., Chakraborty, D., Sehgal, V.K., Pal, M., 2015. Potential impact of rising atmospheric CO₂ on quality of grains in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Food Chem.* 187, 431–436.
- Seneweera, S.P., Conroy, J.P., 1997. Growth, grain yield and quality of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in response to elevated CO₂ and phosphorus nutrition. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 43, 1131–1136.
- Singh, D.K., Bharti, S., 1985. Seed manganese content and its relationship with the growth characteristics of wheat cultivars. *New Phytol.* 101, 387–391.
- Smith, E.G., Janzen, H.H., Ellert, B.H., 2018. Effect of fertilizer and cropping system on grain nutrient concentrations in spring wheat. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 98, 125–131.
- Steel, R.G., Torrie, J.H., 1980. *Principles and Procedures of Statistics: A Biometrical Approach*. 2nd ed. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Taub, D.R., Wang, X.Z., 2008. Why are nitrogen concentrations in plant tissues lower under elevated CO₂? A critical examination of the hypotheses. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.* 50, 1365–1374.
- Taub, D.R., Miller, B., Allen, H., 2008. Effects of elevated CO₂ on the protein concentration of food crops: a meta-analysis. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* 14, 565–575.
- Tausz, M., Norton, R.M., Tausz-Posch, S., Löw, M., Seneweera, S., O'Leary, G., Armstrong, R., Fitzgerald, G.J., 2017. Can additional N fertiliser ameliorate the elevated CO₂-induced depression in grain and tissue N concentrations of wheat on a high soil N background? *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 203, 574–583.
- Thomas, J.M.G., Prasad, P.V.V., Boote, K.J., Allen, Jr, L.H., 2009. Seed composition, seedling emergence and early seedling vigour of red kidney bean seed produced at elevated temperature and carbon dioxide. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 195, 148–156.
- Uddin, S., Löw, M., Parvin, S., Fitzgerald, G., Bahrami, H., Tausz-Posch, S., Armstrong, R., O'Leary, G., Tausz, M., 2018. Water use and growth responses of dryland wheat grown under elevated [CO₂] are associated with root length in deeper, but not upper soil layer. *Field Crop Res.* 224, 170–181.
- Wang, Y., Frei, M., Song, Q., Yang, L., 2011. The impact of atmospheric CO₂ concentration enrichment on rice quality - a research review. *Acta Ecol. Sin.* 31, 277–282.
- Wang, B., Wei, H., Xue, Z., Zhang, W.H., 2017. Gibberellins regulate iron deficiency-response by influencing iron transport and translocation in rice seedlings (*Oryza sativa*). *Ann. Bot. Lond.* 119, 945–956.
- White, P.J., Brown, P.H., 2010. Plant nutrition for sustainable development and global health. *Ann. Bot. Lond.* 105, 1073–1080.
- WRB, I.W.G., 2014. World reference base for soil resources. International Soil Classification System for Naming Soils and Creating Legends for Soil Maps. FAO, Rome.
- Wroblewitz, S., Huther, L., Manderscheid, R., Weigel, H.J., Watzig, H., Danicke, S., 2013. The effect of free air carbon dioxide enrichment and nitrogen fertilisation on the chemical composition and nutritional value of wheat and barley grain. *Arch. Anim. Nutr.* 67, 263–278.
- Yang, S.Y., Huang, T.K., Kuo, H.F., Chiou, T.J., 2017. Role of vacuoles in phosphorus storage and remobilization. *J. Exp. Bot.* 68, 3045–3055.
- Yu, Z.H., Li, Y.S., Wang, G.H., Liu, J.J., Liu, J.D., Liu, X.B., Herbert, S.J., Jin, J., 2016. Effectiveness of elevated CO₂ mediating bacterial communities in the soybean rhizosphere depends on genotypes. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 231, 229–232.
- Yuen, S.H., Pollard, A.G., 1954. Determination of nitrogen in agricultural materials by the Nessler Reagent. II. Micro-determination of plant tissue and soil extracts. *J. Sci. Food Agr.* 5, 364–369.